ı	1	5		
1	LOEB & LOEB LLP MICHELLE LA MAR (State Bar No. 163038)			
2				
3	livia de la livia della			
4	l.,			
5	Los Angeles, California 90067-4120 Telephone: 310-282-2000			
6	Facsimile: 310-282-2200			
7	KELLEY DRYE & WARREN LLP WILLIAM A. ESCOBAR (Admitted, <i>Pro Hac Vice</i>)			
8				
9	rsteiner@kelleydrye.com KEVIN J. SMITH (Admitted, <i>Pro Hac Vice</i>)			
10	ksmith@kelleydrye.com 101 Park Avenue			
11				
12	Facsimile: (212) 808-7897			
13	Attorneys for Defendants TATA AMERICA INTERNATIONAL			
14	CORPORATION, TATA CONSULTANCY SERVICES, LTD. and TATA SONS, LTD.			
15				
16	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
17	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
18				
19	GOPI VEDACHALAM and KANGANA BERI, on behalf of themselves and all) CASE No. CV06-0963 (VRW)		
20	others similarly situated,	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RE: DEFENDANTS' MOTION		
21	Plaintiffs,	TO STAY PROCEEDINGS PENDING THE RESOLUTION OF APPEAL		
22	V.)		
23	TATA AMERICA INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION, a New York))		
24	corporation; TATA CONSULTANCY SERVICES, LTD., an Indian corporation;)		
25	and TATA SONS, LTD., an Indian corporation,))		
26	Defendants.))		
27))		
28				
	CASE NO CV06 0963 (VPW) STID & IDPODOS	EDI ODDED DE DEENDANTE? MOTION TO CTAV		

1	WHEREAS, in an Order, entered on March 13, 2007, this Court denied	
2	Defendants' Motion to Compel Arbitration of Plaintiff Gopi Vedachalam's claims;	
3	WHEREAS, on March 22, 2007, Defendants appealed, pursuant to 9 U.S.C.	
4	§16(a)(1)(C), this Court's Order denying their Motion to Compel Arbitration to the United States	
5	Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit ("the Vedachalam Appeal");	
6	WHEREAS, on April 2, 2007 Defendants filed a Motion to Compel Arbitration of	
7	Plaintiff Kanga Beri's claims on grounds similar to those set forth in their prior Motion to Compel	
8	Arbitration of Vedachalam's Claims, during the pendancy of which the Parties stipulated and the	
9	Court so ordered that, among other things, this Action be otherwise stayed and that the Parties	
10	enter into such stipulations as necessary to adjourn or stay the briefing schedule of Defendants'	
11	appeal of the Court's March 13, 2007 Order until after the Court decides Defendants' Motion to	
12	Compel Arbitration of Plaintiff Kanga Beri's Claims;	
13	WHEREAS, in an Order dated February 14, 2008, this Court denied Defendants'	
14	Motion to Compel Arbitration of Plaintiff Kanga Beri's Claims;	
15	WHEREAS, on February 29, 2008, Defendants appealed, pursuant to 9 U.S.C. §	
16	16(a)(1)(C), this Court's Order denying its Motion to Compel Arbitration to the United States	
17	Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit ("the Beri Appeal") and requested that the Vedachalam	
18	Appeal be consolidated with the Beri Appeal (collectively "the Consolidated Appeal");	
19	WHEREAS, Defendants have informed the Court and the Plaintiffs that they	
20	intend to move for a further stay of this action pending resolution by the Ninth Circuit of the	
21	Consolidated Appeal, and in prior briefing have provided the Court with authority and	
22		
	commentary which supports the grant of such a stay (see Defendants' Motion for a Stay, Doc.	
23	commentary which supports the grant of such a stay (<i>see</i> Defendants' Motion for a Stay, Doc. Nos. 60 and 61 and authorities cited therein); and	
23 24		
	Nos. 60 and 61 and authorities cited therein); and	
24	Nos. 60 and 61 and authorities cited therein); and WHEREAS, in order to preserve the resources of the Court and of the Parties,	
24 25	Nos. 60 and 61 and authorities cited therein); and WHEREAS, in order to preserve the resources of the Court and of the Parties, Plaintiffs, without conceding the merits of Defendants' proposed Stay Motion, Agree and	

- 2 -

1	THE PARTIES HEREBY AGREE AND STIPULATE AS FOLLOWS:		
2	1. All proceedings in this Action before this Court are hereby stayed pending the outcome of		
3	the Consolidated Appeal.		
4	IT IS SO STIPULATED.		
5	Dated: March 5, 2008	RUKIN HYLAND DORIA & TINDALL LLP	
6			
7		By: /s/ Steven M. Tindall Steven M. Tindall	
8		Steven M. Tindall (State Bar No. 187862)	
9		RUKIN HYLAND DORIA & TINDALL, LLP 100 Pine Street, Suite 725	
10		San Francisco, CA 94111 Telephone: (415) 421-1800	
11		Facsimile: (415) 421-1700	
12	Dated: March 5, 2008	LIEFF, CABRASER, HEIMANN & BERNSTEIN, LLP	
13		LL	
14		By: /s/ Kelly M. Dermody Kelly M. Dermody	
15		Kelly M. Dermody (State Bar No. 171716)	
16		Daniel M. Hutchinson (State Bar No.239458)	
17		Embarcadero Center West 275 Battery Street, 30th Floor	
18		San Francisco, CA 94111-3339 Telephone: (415) 956-1000	
19		Facsimile: (415) 956-1008	
20			
21		Counsel for the Plaintiffs	
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
	- 3 -		

1	Dated: March 5, 2008	LOEB & LOEB, LLP	
2		By: /s/ Michelle M. La Mar	
3		By: <u>/s/ Michelle M. La Mar</u> Michelle M. La Mar	
4 5		Michelle La Mar (State Bar No. 163038) mlamar@loeb.com Benjamin R. King (State Bar No. 205447)	
6		bking@loeb.com John Daryanani (State Bar No. 205149)	
7		jdaryanani@loeb.com 10100 Santa Monica Boulevard, Suite 2200	
8		Los Angeles, CA 90067-4120 Telephone: (310) 282-2000 Facsimile: (310) 282-2200	
9	Dated: March 5, 2008	KELLEY DRYE & WARREN LLP	
10	,		
11		By: /s/ Kevin J. Smith Kevin J. Smith	
12		William A. Escobar (Admitted, Pro Hac Vice)	
13		wescobar@kelleydrye.com Robert I. Steiner (Admitted, <i>Pro Hac Vice</i>)	
14		rsteiner@kelleydrye.com Kevin J. Smith (Admitted, <i>Pro Hac Vice</i>) ksmith@kelleydrye.com	
15 16		101 Park Avenue New York, NY 10178	
17		Telephone: (212) 808-7800 Facsimile: (212) 808-7897	
18		Counsel for Defendants TATA AMERICA	
19		INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION, TATA CONSULTANCY SERVICES, LTD., and TATA SONS, LTD.	
20		LID.	
21			
22	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
23			
24	Dated: 3/7/08	- HON MALICHNE WALKER	
25		United States District Court Chief Judge	
26			
27			
28			
	- 4 -		

Signature Attestation I, Michelle M. La Mar, hereby attest that I have on file all holographic signatures for any signatures indicated by a "conformed" signature (/s/) within this e-filed document. /s/ Michelle M. La Mar Michelle M. La Mar

- 5 -